



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# **Correction: Protocol for the PINCER trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices**

### **Citation for published version:**

Avery, AJ, Rodgers, S, Cantrill, JA, Armstrong, S, Elliott, R, Howard, R, Kendrick, D, Morris, CJ, Murray, SA, Prescott, RJ, Cresswell, K & Sheikh, A 2010, 'Correction: Protocol for the PINCER trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices', *Trials*, vol. 11, 23, pp. -. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-11-23>

### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1186/1745-6215-11-23](https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-11-23)

### **Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### **Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

### **Published In:**

Trials

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



CORRECTION

Open Access

# Correction: Protocol for the Pincer trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices

Anthony J Avery<sup>1\*</sup>, Sarah Rodgers<sup>2</sup>, Judith A Cantrill<sup>3</sup>, Sarah Armstrong<sup>4</sup>, Rachel Elliott<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Howard<sup>5</sup>, Denise Kendrick<sup>1</sup>, Caroline J Morris<sup>6</sup>, Scott A Murray<sup>7</sup>, Robin J Prescott<sup>7</sup>, Kathrin Cresswell<sup>7</sup>, Aziz Sheikh<sup>7</sup>

## Correction

Following the publication of our article [1] we noticed an error in the Methods section. In the sub-section 'Describing baseline prevalence of medication-related problems' the denominator for the following secondary outcome measures is incorrectly stated:

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months without a thyroid function test in the last six months (numerator)/

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  three months (denominator)

This should read:

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months without a thyroid function test in the last six months (numerator)/

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months (denominator)

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Division of Primary Care, The Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK. <sup>2</sup>Division for Social Research in Medicines and Health, The School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, University Park,

Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. <sup>3</sup>Drug Usage & Pharmacy Practice Group, School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. <sup>4</sup>Trent Research Design Service, Division of Primary Care, Tower Building, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. <sup>5</sup>School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, PO Box 226, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK. <sup>6</sup>Department of Primary Health Care and General Practice, Wellington School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Otago, Mein Street, Wellington South, New Zealand. <sup>7</sup>Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, 20 West Richmond Street, Edinburgh, EH8 9DX, UK.

Received: 2 March 2010

Accepted: 2 March 2010 Published: 2 March 2010

## Reference

1. Avery A, Rodgers S, Cantrill JA, Armstrong S, Elliott R, Howard R, Kendrick D, Morris CJ, Murray SA, Prescott RJ, Cresswell K, Sheikh A: **Protocol for the Pincer trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices.** *Trials* 2009, **10**:28.

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-11-23

**Cite this article as:** Avery et al.: Correction: Protocol for the Pincer trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices. *Trials* 2010 **11**:23.

\* Correspondence: tony.avery@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup>Division of Primary Care, The Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK